

# Polynomial Approximation

- Polynomial approximation is a special case of polynomial series approximation which in turn is a special case of the class of linear approximation algorithms.
- These algorithms approximate the time  $t$  value function  $V_t(s)$  by a linear combination of the first  $k$  elements of an infinite sequence of polynomial basis functions  $(\rho_1(s), \rho_2(s), \dots, \rho_k(s), \dots)$  defined over all  $s \in S$ .
- By specifying a particular set of coefficients  $\hat{\theta}_t = (\hat{\theta}_{t,1}, \dots, \hat{\theta}_{t,k})$  we obtain an estimate  $V_{\hat{\theta}_t}$  of the time  $t$  value function  $V_t$  given by

$$V_{\hat{\theta}_t}(s) = \sum_{i=1}^k \hat{\theta}_{i,t} \rho_i(s). \quad (1)$$

- To implement this method we need to specify the basis function and a method for choosing the “best fitting” coefficient vector  $\hat{\theta}_t$ .
- A natural choice for the set of basis functions are the “ordinary” polynomial  $\rho_i(s) = s^i$  since the Weierstrass approximation theorem shows that if  $S$  is compact, then the set of all linear combinations of the form (1) is dense in the space  $C(S)$  of all continuous functions on  $S$ .
- A natural way to determine the  $\hat{\theta}_t$  is with the nonlinear least square approach we already described.
- One problem with this estimation using ordinary polynomial basis  $(1, s, s^2, \dots)$  is that successive terms in the series approximation (1) become highly collinear as  $k$  gets large. And this can create problems in the least square estimation of  $\hat{\theta}_t$ .
- For example if the grid points are not well distributed it is not rare to see explosive oscillations of the approximate value function as  $k$  grows large.
- This motivates the use of uniformly bounded, orthogonal polynomial series approximations. There are (infinitely) many such orthogonal bases for  $C(S)$ .
- Chebyshev polynomials  $(p_i)$  are an orthogonal collection of polynomials defined on the domain  $S = [-1, 1]$  where orthogonality is defined using the weighting function  $\eta(ds) = ds/\sqrt{1-s^2}$ .

- The explicit formula for  $(p_i)$  is given by  $p_i(s) = \cos(i \cos^{-1}(s))$ . But they can also be defined by the recursion

$$p_i(s) = 2s p_{i-1}(s) - p_{i-2}(s), \quad (2)$$

with initial conditions  $p_0(s) = 1$  and  $p_1(s) = s$ .

- By definition the Chebyshev polynomials satisfy a continuous orthogonality relation, and the more important discrete orthogonality relation

$$\sum_{l=1}^k p_i(s_l^k) p_j(s_l^k) = 0, \text{ for } i \neq j, \quad (3)$$

where  $s_l^k$  are the zeros of  $p_k$  given by

$$s_l^k = \cos\left(\frac{(2l-1)\pi}{2k}\right), l = 1, \dots, k. \quad (4)$$

This result implies that the Chebyshev zeros  $(s_1^k, \dots, s_k^k)$  can serve as a set of grid points for interpolation of an arbitrary continuous function  $f$ .

- Using these grid points we can interpolate an arbitrary continuous function  $f$  by the function  $\hat{f}_{k-1}(s)$  defined by:

$$\hat{f}_{k-1}(s) = -\frac{1}{2}\hat{\theta}_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \hat{\theta}_{i+1} p_i(s), \quad (5)$$

where the coefficients  $\hat{\theta} = (\hat{\theta}_1, \dots, \hat{\theta}_k)$  are given by:

$$\hat{\theta}_t = \frac{2}{k} \sum_{l=1}^k f(s_l^k) p_{t-1}(s_l^k). \quad (6)$$

- The amount of work involved in computing the coefficient  $\hat{\theta}_t$  at each stage  $t$  using the  $k-1$  Chebyshev interpolant is of order  $k^2$ . Once these coefficients are computed the effort required to evaluate  $\hat{f}_k$  at any  $s \in S$  is only of order  $k$ .
- The smoothness properties of Chebyshev polynomials lead to the presumption that we can obtain good approximations for small values of  $k$ .

## Smooth Approximation of the Decision Rule

- In many problems the primary interest is in approximating the optimal decision rule  $\alpha$ . Given an estimate  $\hat{V}$  of  $V$  we can compute the implied estimate  $\hat{\alpha}(s) = (\hat{\alpha}_0(s), \dots, \hat{\alpha}_T(s))$  at any point  $s \in S$  via the dynamic programming recursion:

$$\hat{\alpha}_t(s) = \arg \max_{a \in A(s)} \left[ u(s, a) + \beta \int \hat{V}_{t+1}(s') p(ds' | s, a) \right], t = 0, \dots, T - 1. \quad (7)$$

- In practice  $\hat{\alpha}_t(s)$  will differ from  $\alpha_t(s)$  not only because  $\hat{V}_t$  differs from  $V$ , but also due to any additional approximation errors introduced by numerical solution of the integration and constrained maximization subproblems in the equation above.
- If we need to evaluate  $\hat{\alpha}$  at a large number of points in  $S$ , say for purposes of stochastic simulation of the optimal decision rule, it will generally be too time-consuming to compute  $\hat{\alpha}_t(s)$  at many different points  $s \in S$ .
- Then it makes sense to incur in the up-front cost of using one of the function approximation methods available (polynomial approximation, Chebyshev polynomial approximation...) to compute an approximate decision rule  $\hat{\hat{\alpha}}_t$  given the information  $I_N = (\hat{\alpha}(s_1), \dots, \hat{\alpha}(s_N))$  on the optimal decisions computed over a finite grid of points  $(s_1, \dots, s_N)$  in  $S$ .
- This results in a smooth approximation  $\hat{\hat{\alpha}}_t$  to the optimal decision rule  $\alpha$  that can be quickly evaluated at any  $s \in S$ .